arXiv:1012.4156v3 [hep-th] 27 Feb 2012

Gravito-electromagnetism and decomposition of gravity

Huiquan Li
Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study,
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230036, China

February 28, 2012

Abstract

We deal with the gravitational theory relevant to gravito-electromagnetism (GEM) exactly. It is shown that the theory can be decomposed in terms of two sectors of Abelian gauge field theories. The two sectors dominate the whole action in an inverse way, showing a sign of strong-weak duality.

1 Introduction

One of the earliest observations on the formal analogy between gravitation and electromagnetism is the speculative gravito-electromagnetism (GEM), found more than a century ago [1, 2] (for reviews, see [3, 4, 5, 6]). An unavoidable conclusion from GEM is that a rotating mass can produce garvito-magnetic (GM) fields, which will cause a precession of planetary orbits [7]. This effect is later generally studied in general relativity, known as the Lense-Thirring effect [8]. It is a mission of the satellite Gravity Probe B to make precise measurements on the GM field of the Earth via the gyroscope precession. However, the GM force is too weak and so is not easily detected [9, 10]. Some aspects of GEM are discussed in [11, 12, 13, 14]. The most recent observational results from the Gravity Probe B are present in [15, 16].

In string theory, gravitational fields are described by the massless states of closed strings which have two sectors: the left-moving and right-moving modes, and gauge fields are massless states of open strings that has one of the moving modes of closed strings. In [17], it is revealed that the closed string scattering amplitudes can be expressed as a sum of the products of open string ones respectively in the left- and right-moving sectors of closed strings. Similar relations are also found in gravity and gauge theory. From perturbation calculations of scattering amplitudes, it is found that gravity appears to contain two copies of gauge theories (e.g., see reviews [18, 19]).

In this paper, we investigate pure gravity along this line. We show that the gravitational theories with metrics relevant to GEM can be decomposed in terms of two sectors of Abelian gauge field theories, so that the GEM can be clearly seen. We therefore can study gravity by studying the two sectors of gauge field theories instead. Our approach to GEM is exact, in contrast to the usual approach based on Einstein's equations in linearised gravity. Therefore, the GEM results apply to the cases with non-static metric as well as strong metric fields, including the situation in the near-horizon regions where the gravity/gauge-theory duality was originally found.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we give a brief introduction to the original GEM based on Einstein's equations in linearised gravity. In Section 3 and 4, we decompose the gravity with two kinds of metrics that are relevant to GEM into two sectors of Abelian gauge field theories. Conclusions are made in the last section. All through the paper, the Greek indices μ, ν, ρ denote the four spacetime dimensions and the Latin indices i, j, k denote the three spatial dimensions.

2 GEM in linearised gravity

GEM is usually discussed in the linearised gravity. We start with the Einstein-Hilbert action given by

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R. \tag{1}$$

where g is the determinant of the metric field $g_{\mu\nu}$.

In perturbation discussions, the metric is written as a sum of a perturbation part and the Minkowski metric:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}. \tag{2}$$

If one only considers the leading order terms of $h_{\mu\nu}$, the Ricci tensor is

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial^\rho \partial_\mu h_{\rho\nu} + \partial^\rho \partial_\nu h_{\rho\mu} - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu h - \Box h_{\mu\nu}), \tag{3}$$

and the Ricci scalar is

$$R = \partial^\mu \partial^\nu h_{\mu\nu} - \Box h, \tag{4}$$

where $h = \eta^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$ and $\Box = \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu$. Thus, the Ricci tensor and scalar are both composed only of second derivatives of the metric fields. Defining $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu} h$ with $h = \eta^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$, the Einstein's equations can be simply written as

$$\Box \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = -16\pi G T_{\mu\nu}, \tag{5}$$

where $\Box = \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu$, if we employ the harmonic or Lorentz gauge:

$$\partial^\nu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{6}$$

For slowly moving matter with $v \ll c$, the components of the energy-momentum tensor are: $T^{00} = \rho c^2$, $T^{0i} = \rho c v^i$ and $T^{ij} = \rho v^i v^j + p\delta^{ij}$, where c is the speed of light (but we will use the $c = 1$ convention in the following discussion). Hence, $T^{00} \gg T^{0i} \gg T^{ij}$. In terms of (5), it turns out that the resulting metric solution is

$$ds^2 = -(1 - 2\Phi)dx^0 dx^0 - 4(\vec{A} \cdot d\vec{x})dx^0 + (1 + 2\Phi)d\vec{x}^2. \tag{7}$$

For a central mass, the potentials at some distance r far from the centre are: $\Phi \sim GM/r$ and $\vec{A} \sim G\vec{J} \times \vec{x}/r^3$, where M and J are respectively the mass and angular momentum of the central mass. Thus, they satisfy the harmonic gauge condition mentioned above and so the Einstein's equations (5).

The gravito-electric and gravito-magnetic fields are defined as follows

$$\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla}\Phi - \frac{1}{2}\partial_0\vec{A}, \quad \vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}, \tag{8}$$

which are special cases of the more general definitions based on the Riemann tensor (e.g., [20, 21, 14]). With them, we can write down a set of Maxwell equations for the GEM fields in terms of Eq. (5). Thus, a particle in this spacetime will sense a Lorentz-type force as a charged particle does in electromagnetism.

In the next two sections, we shall exactly deal with the gravitational theories relevant to GEM discussed above, i.e., the gravity with the metrics similar to the GEM metric (7).

3 Decomposed gravity and GEM

3.1 Gauge field theories in two sectors

Before going to discuss gravity, we introduce the Abelian gauge field theories expressed in terms of two sectors which are used to decompose gravity in later discussions. Given a vector potential A_μ, we define the two sectors of its first derivatives

$$H_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu + \partial_\nu A_\mu, \quad F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu. \tag{9}$$

It looks like that one is the right-moving and the other is the left-moving mode. For the F sector, the gauge field theory in the Minkowski spacetime takes the well-known form

$$S_F = -\frac{1}{4}\int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}, \tag{10}$$

For the H sector, we can define the corresponding gauge field theory as

$$S_H = -\frac{1}{4}\int d^4x (H_{\mu\nu}H^{\mu\nu} - H^2), \tag{11}$$

where $H = \eta^{\mu\nu}H_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial \cdot A$.

The difference between the two actions is

$$(H \cdot H^{\mu\nu} - H^2) - F \cdot F = 4\partial_\mu j^\mu, \qquad j^\mu = A \cdot \partial A^\mu - A^\mu \partial \cdot A. \tag{12}$$

Hence, the two theories are equivalent in Minkowski spacetime:

$$S_H = S_F. \tag{13}$$

They have the same equation of motion: $\partial^\mu F_{\mu\nu} = 0$, and energy-momentum tensor.

In what follows, we shall show that the Einstein-Hilbert action (1) can be decomposed in terms of the two equivalent gauge theories S_F and S_H.

3.2 Decomposition of gravity

In this section, we first discuss the decomposition of gravity with the Kerr-Schild style metric.

A large variety of gravitational wave and black hole solutions can be expressed in the Kerr-Schild Cartesian coordinates. To study the GEM effects of rotating objects, we can consider the Kerr black hole solution in the Kerr-Schild metric [22, 23]:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + f k_\mu k_\nu, \tag{14}$$

where the scalar function f is

$$f = \frac{2Gmr^3}{r^4 + a^2z^2}, \tag{15}$$

and the vector is

$$k_\mu = \left(1, \frac{rx + ay}{r^2 + a^2}, \frac{ry - ax}{r^2 + a^2}, \frac{z}{r}\right). \tag{16}$$

The expression of r is determined by

$$x^2 + y^2 = (r^2 + a^2)\left(1 - \frac{z^2}{r^2}\right). \tag{17}$$

In the Kerr solution, the vector is null and has the following feature

$$k^2 = 0, \qquad k \cdot \partial k_\mu = 0, \tag{18}$$

if we define $k^\mu = \eta^{\mu\nu}k_\nu$. They actually also give rise to $k \cdot \nabla k_\mu = 0$, which indicates that k_μ is null geodesic.

In what follows, we consider the generalised Kerr-Schild metric, leaving the scalar and vector as free field functions:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + e^{2\phi} A_\mu A_\nu, \tag{19}$$

i.e.,

$$ds^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + e^{2\phi} dl^2, \tag{20}$$

where

$$dl = A_\mu dx^\mu. \tag{21}$$

Similar metrics are also adopted in the Kaluza-Klein theory and the canonical gravity formalism.

In this paper, we adopt the following notations

$$A^\mu \equiv \eta^{\mu\nu} A_\nu, \quad A^2 \equiv \eta^{\mu\nu} A_\mu A_\nu, \tag{22}$$

Indices raised by $g^{\mu\nu}$ will be denoted differently. The determinant and inverse of the metric can be expressed as

$$g \equiv \det g_{\mu\nu} = -(1 + e^{2\phi} A^2), \quad g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{e^{2\phi} A^\mu A^\nu}{g}. \tag{23}$$

Let us set A^2 to be any value satisfying $A^2 > -1$, not restricting on the $A^2 = 0$ case.

3.2.1 The theory with pure vector fields

We shall derive the explicit form of the Einstein-Hilbert action with the generalised Kerr-Schild metric (19). First, we consider the special case that ϕ is constant or that the scalar ϕ is absorbed into the vector field: $e^\phi A_\mu \to A_\mu$. We still adopt the notations of the F and H sectors defined in Eq. (9) in the gauge field theory case. The Christoffel symbols are thus

$$\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\sigma} (A_\sigma H_{\mu\nu} + A_\nu F_{\mu\sigma} + A_\mu F_{\nu\sigma}). \tag{24}$$

In the special case with $F_{\mu\nu} = 0$, the Christoffel symbols are simply $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = -(1/2g) A^\lambda H_{\mu\nu}$.

From the relation: $\nabla_\alpha (A_\mu A_\nu) = \nabla_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\alpha \eta_{\mu\nu}$, we can derive

$$\nabla_\mu A_\nu = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} A_\lambda. \tag{25}$$

Thus, A_μ indeed acts as a vector. The Lie derivative of the metric along a vector ξ is

$$\pounds_\xi g_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \xi_\nu + \partial_\nu \xi_\mu - [(A \cdot \widetilde{\xi}) H_{\mu\nu} + \widetilde{\xi}^\alpha (A_\mu F_{\nu\alpha} + A_\nu F_{\mu\alpha})], \tag{26}$$

where $\widetilde{\xi}^\alpha$ denotes the vector raised by $g^{\alpha\beta}$: $\widetilde{\xi}^\alpha \equiv g^{\alpha\beta}\xi_\beta$ and $A \cdot \widetilde{\xi} \equiv A_\alpha \widetilde{\xi}^\alpha = A \cdot \xi/(1 + A^2)$ (because the vector ξ^α has been defined as raised by $\eta^{\alpha\beta}$). The Lie derivative of the vector A_μ is

$$\pounds_\xi A_\mu = \widetilde{\xi}^\alpha \partial_\alpha A_\mu + A_\alpha \partial_\mu \widetilde{\xi}^\alpha = \partial_\mu (A \cdot \widetilde{\xi}) - \widetilde{\xi}^\alpha F_{\mu\alpha}, \tag{27}$$

which leads to

$$\pounds_\xi (A_\mu A_\nu) = \partial_\mu (A \cdot \widetilde{\xi} A_\nu) + \partial_\nu (A \cdot \widetilde{\xi} A_\mu) - [(A \cdot \widetilde{\xi}) H_{\mu\nu} + \widetilde{\xi}^\alpha (A_\mu F_{\nu\alpha} + A_\nu F_{\mu\alpha})]. \tag{28}$$

Thus,

$$\pounds_\xi \eta_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \left(\xi_\nu - \frac{A \cdot \xi}{1 + A^2} A_\nu \right) + \partial_\nu \left(\xi_\mu - \frac{A \cdot \xi}{1 + A^2} A_\mu \right) = \eta_{\nu\alpha} \partial_\mu \widetilde{\xi}^\alpha + \eta_{\mu\alpha} \partial_\nu \widetilde{\xi}^\alpha. \tag{29}$$

For orthogonal vectors satisfying $A \cdot \xi = 0$, this is simply: $\pounds_\xi \eta_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \xi_\nu + \partial_\nu \xi_\mu$. When A^2 is constant, $\pounds_A \eta_{\mu\nu} = H_{\mu\nu}/(1 + A^2)$. The condition that A_μ is Killing vector is $H_{\mu\nu} = 0$.

The Riemann tensor from the Christoffel symbols (24) is

$$\begin{aligned} R^\rho{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\lambda} \{ & \Gamma^\eta_{\nu\lambda} (A_\eta H_{\mu\sigma} + A_\sigma F_{\mu\eta} + A_\mu F_{\sigma\eta}) - \Gamma^\eta_{\mu\lambda} (A_\eta H_{\nu\sigma} + A_\sigma F_{\nu\eta} + A_\nu F_{\sigma\eta}) \\ & + A_{[\lambda} \partial_{\sigma]} F_{\mu\nu} + A_{[\mu} \partial_{\nu]} F_{\lambda\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} [(H_{\mu\lambda} H_{\nu\sigma} - H_{\mu\sigma} H_{\nu\lambda}) + F_{\mu\lambda} F_{\sigma\nu} - F_{\mu\sigma} F_{\lambda\nu}] \\ & + F_{\mu\nu} F_{\sigma\lambda} \}. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

In the derivation, we have used $\nabla_\mu g^{\rho\eta} = 0$. The Ricci scalar is thus

$$\begin{aligned} R = \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} \{ & g^{\gamma\eta} [A_\gamma A_\eta (H_{\mu\beta} H_{\nu\alpha} - H_{\mu\alpha} H_{\nu\beta}) - 2 A_\gamma A_\eta F_{\mu\beta} F_{\nu\alpha} \\ & + 2 A_\alpha A_\beta F_{\mu\gamma} F_{\nu\eta} - 4 A_\alpha A_\beta F_{\mu\gamma} (H_{\nu\eta} + F_{\nu\eta})] + 4 A_{[\alpha} \partial_{\beta]} F_{\mu\nu} \\ & - (H_{\mu\beta} H_{\nu\alpha} - H_{\mu\alpha} H_{\nu\beta}) + 3 F_{\mu\beta} F_{\nu\alpha} \}. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Through integration by parts, the second-order derivative terms can be changed into the first-order ones

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} A_{[\alpha} \partial_{\beta]} F_{\mu\nu} = 2 \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} A_\alpha \partial_\beta F_{\mu\nu} = \\ & \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} \left[\frac{1}{g} F_{\mu\beta} F_{\nu\alpha} + g^{\gamma\eta} A_\alpha A_\beta F_{\mu\gamma} (H_{\nu\eta} + F_{\nu\eta}) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Hence, the Hilbert-Einstein action (1) with the metric (19) can be rewritten as

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (\mathcal{L}_F + \mathcal{L}_H), \tag{33}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_H = \frac{1}{4g} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} (H_{\mu\beta} H_{\nu\alpha} - H_{\mu\alpha} H_{\nu\beta}), \tag{34}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_F &= \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} [2g^{\gamma\eta}(A_\gamma A_\eta F_{\mu\beta} F_{\alpha\nu} + A_\alpha A_\beta F_{\mu\gamma} F_{\nu\eta}) - F_{\mu\beta} F_{\nu\alpha}] \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\alpha} - \frac{1}{2g} g^{\mu\alpha} + \frac{1}{2g^2} A^\mu A^\alpha \right) g^{\nu\beta} F_{\mu\beta} F_{\alpha\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Thus, the action is completely decomposed in terms of the two sectors H and F and there are no coupling terms between the two sectors.

Inserting the expression of the inverse metric, we can rewrite the action in the following way with respect to the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned} S = \frac{1}{64\pi G} \int d^4x \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+A^2}} \bigg\{ & F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \eta^{\mu\alpha} \left(\frac{2A^\nu A^\beta}{1+A^2} - \eta^{\nu\beta} \right) [A^2 F_{\mu\beta} F_{\alpha\nu} \\ & + (H_{\mu\beta} H_{\nu\alpha} - H_{\mu\alpha} H_{\nu\beta})] \bigg\}, \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where the indices are raised and lowered by Minkowski metric as before. The action can be further expressed in the explicit form:

$$\begin{aligned} S = \frac{1}{64\pi G} \int d^4x \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+A^2}} \{ & [(1-A^2) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - (H_{\mu\nu} H^{\mu\nu} - H^2)] \\ & + \frac{4}{1+A^2} j_\mu \partial^\mu A^2 + 2B_\mu B^\mu \}, \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where $j_\mu = A \cdot \partial A_\mu - (\partial \cdot A) A_\mu$ is defined in Eq. (12), and

$$B_\mu = A \cdot \partial A_\mu - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu A^2 = A^\nu F_{\nu\mu}. \tag{38}$$

So B^2 can also be expressed as: $B^2 = B_\mu B^\mu = (1+A^2)(\eta^{\mu\nu} - g^{\mu\nu}) F_{\mu\alpha} F_\nu{}^\alpha$. Obviously, B^μ is always orthogonal to the vector A_μ:

$$A \cdot B = 0 \ \text{ and } \ g^{\mu\nu} A_\mu B_\nu = 0. \tag{39}$$

Adopting the relation (12) and integration by parts, the above action can be reexpressed as

$$S = \frac{1}{64\pi G} \int d^4x \left\{ -\sqrt{1+A^2} F \cdot F + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+A^2}} [(H \cdot H - H^2) + 2B^2] \right\}. \tag{40}$$

Thus, expressed in the Minkowski metric, $\sqrt{-g}$ plays the role of potential for the two sectors of gauge field theories. In particular, if we can choose some gauge to keep A^2 constant, we can take it as part of the "effective coupling constants" of the two sectors of gauge field theories. The effective coupling constants run in an opposite way, with two extremal limits $A^2 \to -1$ and $\to \infty$. This inverse relation between the coupling constants of the two sectors is also seen in ordinary gauge field theory and its dual. This relation implies that, if one sector is strongly coupled, the other is weakly, and vice versa. For the special case $A^2 = 0$, the two sectors cancel out.

In our case, $h_{\mu\nu} = A_\mu A_\nu$ and so

$$\partial^\nu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = A_\mu \partial \cdot A + B_\mu. \tag{41}$$

From Eq. (39), we can express: $B^2 = B^\mu \partial^\nu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}$. For $A^2 \neq 0$, the harmonic gauge condition (6): $\partial^\nu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ leads to the Lorentz gauge: $\partial \cdot A = 0$ in gauge field theory (multiply $\partial^\nu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}$ by A^μ). This further gives $B_\mu = 0$. So the harmonic gauge condition is too strong here. Therefore, instead of (6), we can choose the Lorentz gauge $\partial \cdot A = 0$ here, under which we have: $B^2 = (\partial^\nu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu})(\partial_\nu \bar{h}^{\mu\nu})$.

We may consider a more special case: A^2 is constant and $(A \cdot \partial A_\mu)(A \cdot \partial A^\mu) = A_\mu (A \cdot \partial)^2 A^\mu = 0$ (but the components $A \cdot \partial A_\mu$ do not need to be zero). These two conditions lead to $B^2 = 0$ and $(A \cdot \nabla A_\mu)(A \cdot \nabla A_\mu) = 0$, since

$$A \cdot \nabla A_\mu = B_\mu + \frac{1}{2(1 + A^2)} \partial_\mu A^2. \tag{42}$$

If A_μ is Killing vector, this is always true.

In this case, it is easy to know that the gravitational action (40) only changes sign and has the same equations of motion under the replacement:

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} A_\mu A_\nu = A^2 \longrightarrow -\frac{A^2}{1 + A^2} = -g^{\mu\nu} A_\mu A_\nu, \tag{43}$$

given that the derivatives of A_μ are unaltered. This replacement maps one limit $A^2 \to -1$ to the other $A^2 \to \infty$, and vice versa. For $A^2 = 0$, this maps it to itself. Thus, the effective coupling constants of the two sectors of gauge field theory are interchanged, though there is no essential change in the whole gravitational action. More specifically, the action in this case can be simplified to, using Eq. (12)

$$S = -\frac{A^2}{64\pi G \sqrt{1 + A^2}} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}. \tag{44}$$

Thus, the gravitational action takes the form of a gauge field theory. It can have a very large effective coupling constant for small $|A^2|$ (note that this is for the whole gravitational action, while the effective coupling constants of the F and H sectors become extremely large at $A^2 \to -1$ and $\to \infty$, respectively, as shown in (40)). For black hole solutions, $A^2 = 0$ and the on-shell gravitational action vanishes, as expected.

Finally, we write down the action for a minimally coupling massive scalar Φ in the decomposed theory

$$\begin{aligned} S = {} & \frac{1}{64\pi G}\int d^4x \left\{ \sqrt{1+A^2}F\cdot F + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+A^2}}(H\cdot H - H^2) \right. \\ & \left. -2\sqrt{1+A^2}[g^{\mu\nu}(F_{\mu\alpha}F_{\nu}{}^{\alpha} + \partial_\mu\hat{\Phi}\partial_\nu\hat{\Phi}) + m^2\hat{\Phi}^2] \right\}, \\ = {} & \frac{1}{64\pi G}\int d^4x \left\{ -\sqrt{1+A^2}(F\cdot F + 2\partial_\mu\hat{\Phi}\partial^\mu\hat{\Phi} + 2m^2\hat{\Phi}^2) + \right. \\ & \left. \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+A^2}}[(H\cdot H - H^2) + 2A^\mu A^\nu(F_{\mu\alpha}F_{\nu}{}^{\alpha} + \partial_\mu\hat{\Phi}\partial_\nu\hat{\Phi})] \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

where $\hat{\Phi} = 4\sqrt{\pi G}\Phi$. Thus, B^2 provides a term that acts like an exterior field, like the scalar $\hat{\Phi}$.

3.2.2 Inclusion of the scalar ϕ

We next consider the action involving the scalar field ϕ. Because the actions (37) and (40) are correct for general A_μ, we can derive the action in the present case by replacing all A_μ by $e^\phi A_\mu$ in there. From Eq. (37), the resulting action turns out to take a similar form to the previous case

$$\begin{aligned} S = \frac{1}{64\pi G}\int d^4x \frac{e^{2\phi}}{\sqrt{1+\chi}} & \{[(1-\chi)F\cdot F - (H\cdot H - H^2)] \\ & +2e^{2\phi}B^2 + 4j_\mu\partial^\mu \ln[(1+\chi)e^{-2\phi}]\}, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where $\chi = A^2 e^{2\phi}$, and j_μ, B_μ are the same as defined in Eq. (12) and (38), respectively (but the vector A_μ is different now). Similarly, using Eq. (12) and implementing integration by parts, the action can be rewritten as

$$S = -\frac{1}{64\pi G}\int d^4x e^{2\phi}\left\{ \sqrt{1+\chi}F\cdot F - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\chi}}[(H\cdot H - H^2) + 2e^{2\phi}B^2 + 8j\cdot\partial\phi] \right\}. \tag{47}$$

3.3 GEM

We can rewrite the Kerr black hole solution (14-16) in the Kerr-Schild coordinates in the following way

$$ds^2 = -(1-f)dx^0dx^0 + 2f(\vec{k}\cdot d\vec{x})dx^0 + (1+f)d\vec{x}^2 + 2fk_mk_ndx^mdx^n, \tag{48}$$

with $m \neq n$, by noting that $k_0^2 = \vec{k}^2 = 1$. Compared with the metric (7), there are some extra terms. This exact Kerr metric can be passed to the one (7) in the weak field limit, where GEM is usually discussed. Our discussion above can give the exact form of GEM and so can lead to the GEM in weak field limit. It is clear that the second-order derivative terms of the equations of motion from the action (40) or (44) are the usual equations of motion in gauge field theory. They should lead to the GEM equations (8) obtained in linearised gravity (also based on second-order derivative terms) by taking the weak field limit.

Notice that, for non-rotating black holes (14) with $a = 0$, we have $F_{ij} = 0$. Thus, there is no GM force.

4 Alternative approach

In this section, we consider another approach to decomposed gravity and GEM. We consider the metric of the following form:

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -(1 - h_{00}) dx^0 dx^0 + 2h_{0i} dx^0 dx^i + d\vec{x}^2, \tag{49}$$

where the factor 2 before h_{0i} comes from the symmetry of $h_{0i} = h_{i0}$. This metric is similar to the GEM metric (7), but is differen in the part of spatial coordinates: $g_{ij} = (1 + \Phi)\delta_{ij}$ in (7) is replaced by $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ here. For later convenience, we denote $h_{00} = 2A_0$ and $h_{0i} = A_i$. Thus, the metric can be expressed as

$$ds^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + 2dl dx^0, \tag{50}$$

where $dl = A_\mu dx^\mu$, the same as that defined in Eq. (21). The determinant of the metric is

$$g = -(1 - 2A_0 + \vec{A} \cdot \vec{A}) = \frac{1}{g^{00}}, \tag{51}$$

and the inverse metric is

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{g} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -A_j \\ -A_i & g\delta_{ij} + A_i A_j \end{pmatrix}. \tag{52}$$

It is easy to know that a test particle of mass m moving in this spacetime is depicted by the action: $S_p = -m \int dt \mathcal{L}_p$, with

$$\mathcal{L}_p = [(1 - 2A_0) - 2\vec{A} \cdot \vec{v} - \vec{v}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \simeq 1 - A_0 - \vec{A} \cdot \vec{v} - \frac{1}{2}\vec{v}^2, \tag{53}$$

where $v^i = dx^i/dx^0$ are the velocities of the particle at some position x^i. This Lagrangian is more regular compared to the form given in [12, 5] because here we adopt the simpler metric (49) instead of (7). It can be viewed as a Lagrangian describing a particle, with the charge and mass identical, moving in an electromagnetic field-like background. In what follows, we shall discuss the gravitational theory with the metric (49) exactly.

Using the denotations (9) for the two sectors of the first derivatives of A_μ, we can express the Christoffel symbols as

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^\lambda_{00} &= \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda 0}H_{00} + g^{\lambda\rho}F_{0\rho},\\ \Gamma^\lambda_{0i} &= \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda 0}H_{0i} + \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}F_{i\rho},\\ \Gamma^\lambda_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda 0}H_{ij}.\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Thus, $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ are completely composed of the combinations of all the components of $H_{\mu\nu}$ and $F_{\mu\nu}$. Every component of $H_{\mu\nu}$ and $F_{\mu\nu}$ contributes to the Christoffel symbols and there is no overlapping of components. Under the "no-GEM-forces" conditions $F_{\mu\nu} = 0$, the Christoffel symbols (54) are simply $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = (g^{\lambda 0}/2)H_{\mu\nu}$.

The Ricci tensor is derived from the expressions (54) of the Christoffel symbols

$$\begin{aligned}R_{00} &= \frac{1}{4}g^{ij}[4\partial_i F_{0j} + g^{00}(H_{0i}H_{0j} - H_{ij}H_{00}) + 2g^{0\mu}(H_{0i}F_{j\mu} - H_{ij}F_{0\mu}) + g^{\mu\nu}F_{i\mu}F_{j\nu}],\\ R_{0i} = R_{i0} &= \frac{1}{4}[2(g^{j\mu}\partial_j F_{i\mu} + g^{0\mu}\partial_i F_{\mu 0}) + g^{00}g^{j\mu}(H_{0\mu}H_{ij} - H_{0i}H_{j\mu}) + g^{j\mu}g^{0\nu}(H_{ij}F_{\mu\nu}\\ &\qquad -H_{j\mu}F_{i\nu}) + g^{0j}g^{0\nu}(H_{ij}F_{0\nu} - H_{0i}F_{j\nu}) - g^{0j}g^{\mu\nu}F_{i\mu}F_{j\nu}],\\ R_{ij} &= \frac{1}{4}[2g^{0\mu}(\partial_i F_{\mu j} + \partial_j F_{\mu i}) + g^{00}g^{\mu\nu}(H_{i\mu}H_{j\nu} - H_{\mu\nu}H_{ij}) + g^{0\mu}g^{0\nu}(H_{i\mu}F_{j\nu} + H_{j\mu}F_{i\nu}\\ &\qquad -2H_{ij}F_{\mu\nu}) + g^{00}g^{\mu\nu}F_{i\mu}F_{j\nu}].\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

The Ricci scalar is

$$\begin{aligned}R = &-2g^{0\nu}\partial^\mu F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(g^{00}g^{\mu\alpha} - g^{0\mu}g^{0\alpha})g^{\nu\beta}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\alpha\beta} - g^{0\mu}g^{0\alpha}g^{\nu\beta}F_{\mu\nu}H_{\alpha\beta}\\ &+\frac{1}{4}g^{00}g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\beta}(H_{\mu\nu}H_{\alpha\beta} - H_{\mu\alpha}H_{\nu\beta}).\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

It can be proved that the second derivative terms in the above Ricci tensor (55) and scalar (56) are the same as given in Eqs. (3) and (4), respectively.

We also change the second-order derivative terms into first-order terms

$$-\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}g^{0\nu}g^{\mu\alpha}\partial_\alpha F_{\mu\nu} = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}(g^{0\mu}g^{0\alpha}\partial_\alpha A_\beta + g^{00}g^{\mu\alpha}\partial_\beta A_\alpha)g^{\nu\beta}F_{\mu\nu}. \tag{57}$$

Inserting this into the Ricci scalar (56), we can rewrite the Einstein-Hilbert action (1) with the metric (49) as the following form of first derivatives

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}(\mathcal{L}_F + \mathcal{L}_H), \tag{58}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_H = \frac{1}{4g}g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\beta}(H_{\mu\nu}H_{\alpha\beta} - H_{\mu\alpha}H_{\nu\beta}). \tag{59}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_F &= -\frac{1}{2}(g^{00}g^{\mu\alpha} - g^{0\mu}g^{0\alpha})g^{\nu\beta}F_{\mu\beta}F_{\alpha\nu} \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{2g}g^{\mu\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}g^{0\mu}g^{0\alpha}\right)g^{\nu\beta}F_{\mu\beta}F_{\alpha\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

In the derivation, we have noticed that $g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\beta}H_{\alpha\beta}F_{\mu\nu} = 0$. Hence, we also decompose the action (1) completely in terms of the H and F sectors of Abelian gauge theory. The full $\mathcal{L}_H$ and the first term of $\mathcal{L}_F$ are respectively the same as those given in Eqs. (34) and (35). In contrast to ordinary gauge theory in curved spacetime, there are also extra factors like $1/g$ in the two sectors of "gauge theory".

From Eq. (52), we learn the following relation

$$g^{00}(g^{ij} - \delta^{ij}) = g^{0i}g^{0j}. \tag{61}$$

Thus, we can get an explicit form of the action

$$S = \frac{1}{64\pi G}\int d^4x \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}g^{\nu\beta}[2\delta^{ij}F_{i\nu}F_{j\beta} - g^{\mu\alpha}(H_{\mu\beta}H_{\alpha\nu} - H_{\mu\alpha}H_{\nu\beta})]. \tag{62}$$

Because $g^{\mu\alpha}$ in front of the H sector contain an extra factor $1/g$ in contrast to the factor of the F sector, the two sectors also have different dominance in the action, depending on the value of $|g|$.

However, the decomposed theory does not lead to realistic GEM because we have adopted the simplified GEM metric (49) instead of the GEM metric (7). In order to get realistic GEM, we only need to take into account of the metric components $g_{ij} = (1 + 2A_0)\delta_{ij}$ and add the following extra terms to the corresponding Christoffel symbols given in Eq. (54)

$$\triangle\Gamma^{\lambda}_{0i} = g^{\lambda k}\partial_0 A_0\delta_{ik}, \quad \triangle\Gamma^{\lambda}_{ij} = -g^{\lambda\rho}\partial_\rho A_0\delta_{ij} + g^{\lambda k}(\partial_i A_0\delta_{jk} + \partial_j A_0\delta_{ik}). \tag{63}$$

Finally, we also note that the results can be extended to the case for any "crossing metric" like (49) in arbitrary dimensions. For instance, the metric can be of the form $ds^2 = g_{MN}dx^M dx^N = \eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + 2h_{5\mu}dx^5 dx^\mu + (1 + h_{55})dx^5 dx^5$ in five dimensions. A similar form of the metric is usually adopted in the Kaluza-Klein theory. Thus, similar results to the GEM case discussed above can be derived in terms of the two sectors H_{MN} and F_{MN} by denoting $A_M \equiv (A_\mu = h_{5\mu}, A_5 = h_{55}/2)$.

5 Conclusions

We have studied the Einstein-Hilbert theories (1) with the Kerr-Schild-type metric (19) and the simplified GEM metric (49) in a direct and exact approach. We have shown that they can be decomposed into two pieces of Abelian gauge theories, with one in the "left-moving" sector and the other in the "right-moving" sector. These two Abelian gauge theories are equivalent in Minkowski spacetime. This is consistent with the ideas of the gauge and gravitational field origins in string theory. In particular, the decomposed gravitational action expressed in Minkowski metric shows a sign of strong-weak duality between the two sectors of gauge field theories, just like the situation in ordinary gauge field theory. It is also shown that the decomposed action can be simplified to a gauge field theory under some conditions.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Zhiguang Xiao for helpful discussions.

References

[1] G. Holzmuller *Z. Math. Phys.* **15** (1870).

[2] F. Tisserand *Comptes Rendus* **75** (1872).

[3] B. Mashhoon, *Gravitoelectromagnetism*, `gr-qc/0011014`.

[4] M. L. Ruggiero and A. Tartaglia, *Gravitomagnetic effects*, *Nuovo Cim.* **117B** (2002) 743–768, [`gr-qc/0207065`].

[5] B. Mashhoon, *Gravitoelectromagnetism: A Brief Review*, `gr-qc/0311030`.

[6] L. Iorio, H. I. M. Lichtenegger, M. L. Ruggiero, and C. Corda, *Phenomenology of the Lense-Thirring effect in the Solar System*, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **331** (2011) 351–395, [`arXiv:1009.3225`].

[7] W. de Sitter *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **76, 699** (1916).

[8] J. Lense and H. Thirring, *Ueber den Einfluss der Eigenrotation der Zentralkoerper auf die Bewegung der Planeten und Monde nach der Einsteinschen Gravitationstheorie*, *Phys. Z.* **19** (1918) 156–163.

[9] I. Ciufolini, *Measurement of the Lense-Thirring drag on high-altitude, laser-ranged artificial satellites*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** (1986) 278–281.

[10] I. Ciufolini and E. C. Pavlis, *A confirmation of the general relativistic prediction of the Lense-Thirring effect*, *Nature* **431** (2004) 958–960.

[11] R. P. Lano, *Gravitational Meissner Effect*, `hep-th/9603077`.

[12] B. Mashhoon, F. Gronwald, and H. I. M. Lichtenegger, *Gravitomagnetism and the Clock Effect*, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **562** (2001) 83–108, [`gr-qc/9912027`].

[13] R. T. Jantzen, P. Carini, and D. Bini, *The Many faces of gravitoelectromagnetism*, *Annals Phys.* **215** (1992) 1–50, [`gr-qc/0106043`].

[14] L. Filipe Costa and C. A. R. Herdeiro, *A gravito-electromagnetic analogy based on tidal tensors*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 024021, [`gr-qc/0612140`].

[15] C. W. F. Everitt *et. al.*, *Gravity Probe B: Final Results of a Space Experiment to Test General Relativity*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 221101, [`arXiv:1105.3456`].

[16] C. M. Will, *Finally, results from Gravity Probe-B*, `arXiv:1106.1198`.

[17] H. Kawai, D. C. Lewellen, and S. H. H. Tye, *A Relation Between Tree Amplitudes of Closed and Open Strings*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B269** (1986) 1.

[18] Z. Bern, *Perturbative quantum gravity and its relation to gauge theory*, *Living Rev. Rel.* **5** (2002) 5, [`gr-qc/0206071`].

[19] L. J. Dixon, *Ultraviolet Behavior of N=8 Supergravity*, `arXiv:1005.2703`.

[20] N. Dadhich and L. K. Patel, *Gravoelectric-dual of the Kerr solution*, *J. Math. Phys.* **41** (2000) 882–890, [`gr-qc/9909066`].

[21] S. Bose and N. Dadhich, *The electrogravity transformation and global monopoles in scalar-tensor gravity*, *Phys. Lett.* **B488** (2000) 1–10, [`hep-th/0001119`].

[22] G. C. Debney, R. P. Kerr, and A. Schild, *Solutions of the Einstein and Einstein-Maxwell Equations*, *J. Math. Phys.* **10** (1969) 1842.

[23] M. Visser, *The Kerr spacetime: A brief introduction*, `arXiv:0706.0622`.